

# Amendments to permitted development rights

## Details

### Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

### Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

## Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

#### Comments:

In the summer of 2021, overnight and without any warning a huge campsite popped up in the field next door to our house. The campsite in question had the capacity of 130 tents for up to 6 people. We suffered 2 months of noise pollution and light pollution and had no legal rights to complain. To think that Welsh Government think that this is fair is beyond me. Where we live in the National Park was already full to brim last summer, restaurants, cafes and attractions were completely booked up. The truth was, that everywhere became too busy and it was not a nice place to live over the summer. In my opinion, saturating the market with cheap accommodation and tourism creates a huge burden on areas, with no benefit for the majority of people who permanently live in the area. This change in legislation will benefit large wealthy landowners, who want to make a quick and easy money.

If Welsh Government are set on changing the rules, then perhaps they should limit the numbers of tents and pitches to only 20 per site and try to disperse the campsite equally in Wales.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

No

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

**Comments:**

Campsites should be small and no mega sites should be allowed.

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

No

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

No

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

*No Response*

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

Yes

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

Yes

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

Yes

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

*No Response*

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

*No Response*

## Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

████████████████████